



# UNITIED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE RA9-98-053 09/207,130 12/08/98 CONRAD **EXAMINER** 025299 TM02/0618 IBM CORPORATION ART UNIT PO BOX 12195 DEPT 9CCA, BLDG 002 2163 DATE MAILED: RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY** 

06/18/01

9TO-90C (Rev. 2/95) \*U.S. GPO: 2000-473-000/44602 1- File Copy

		Application No. Applicant 09/207,130		(s)		
	Office Action Summers			Conrad, et al.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner Akiba Robinson-Boyce		Art Unit 2163		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet wi	th the corres	spondence addi	ess	
Period	for Reply					
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		<del></del>			
af - If the be - If NC	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a considered timely.  It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, but to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will the set or extended period for the set or extended period	ication. es, a reply within the statu e period will apply and will	tory minimur	n of thirty (30) d	lays will n the mailing date of thi	
- Any	reply received by the Office later than three months after the Irned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ne mailing date of this con	nmunication,	even if timely fil	ed, may reduce any	
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Mar 3, 2</i>	001				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This ac	ction is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>		is/are	pending in th	e application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn f	rom consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>			is/are rejected	I.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected	d to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subje	ct to restric	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ard					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved	b) disappro	ved.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)	-(d).		
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.				
	2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have		pplication N	lo		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure			this National	Stage	
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•		۵۱		
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S	).C. 3 119(	C).		
Attachm						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) 🔲 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application	(PTO-152)		

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/207130

Art Unit: 2163

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Binkley, et al (US Patent 5,088,033).

As per claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15, Binkley, et al discloses:

providing an emulation module.../providing an emulation object.../an emulation module.../an emulation object...(Col. 3, lines 34-37);

ensuring that the application will utilize the emulation module.../ensuring that the application will utilize the emulation object.../means for ensuring.../wherein the application is capable of utilizing the emulation module in lieu of the device.../emulating the interaction...(Col. 6, line 66-Col. 7, line 4);

executing the application on the development system independently of the point of sale system.../wherein the application is executed on the system, the emulation module and the application independently of the point of sale.../wherein the application is executed on the

Application/Control Number: 09/207130

Art Unit: 2163

development system, the emulation module and the application emulate the interaction...(Col. 1, lines 60-62, Col. 1, line 66-Col. 2, line 1, Col. 2, lines 9-19, Col. 7, lines 32-38, [where the examiner is interpreting the "development system" and the "point of sale system" of the present invention to respectively be the "host system" and "target system" of Binkley, et al);

ensuring that the application adequately utilizes the emulation object...(Col. 2, lines 9-13); modifying the application...(Col. 1, lines 11-14, Col. 2, lines 13-19).

allowing a developer to provide input...(Col. 50, lines 41-43);

providing the input to the application in a form expected...(Col. 51, lines 3-17).

As per claims 3, 10, Binkley, et al discloses:

wherein the application is platform independent...(Col. 58, line 46-Col. 59, line 10).

As per claim 5, 12, Binkley, et al discloses:

wherein the point of sale equipment includes a driver...(Col. 19, line 67-Col. 20, line 6).

As per claim 6, 13, Binkley, et al discloses:

wherein the emulation object emulates the driver and the device...(Col. 19, lines 11-15, Col. 19, line 67-Col. 20, line 6).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/207130 Page 4

Art Unit: 2163

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Binkley, et al (US Patent 5,088,033) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Weber (US Patent 5,812, 668).

As per claims 4, 11, Binkley, et al fails to teach the following, however Weber discloses: wherein the application is a JAVA application...(Col. 7, lines 15-17).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the application and the emulation object platform independent because in a computer environment, applications are constantly being changed around and depending on these changes and the needs of the user, the platforms will also need to change in order to fit the environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the application and the emulation object JAVA applications because JAVA is a common, distributed programming language that is simple and is used for object-oriented programming in the application development art.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The new grounds of rejection are discussed above in the preceding paragraphs.

Application/Control Number: 09/207130

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

6. An inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Akiba Robinson-Boyce whose telephone number is (703) 305-1340. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM-3:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Tariq Hafiz, can be reached on (703) 305-9643. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3988.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Akiba Robinson-Boyce

**Patent Examiner** 

**Group Art Unit 2163** 

June 13, 2001

TARIO B. HAFIZ

Page 5

SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100